arXiv:1111.2909v1 [math.AG] 12 Nov 2011

FREE RESOLUTIONS FOR MULTIPLE POINT SPACES

AYŞE ALTINTAŞ AND DAVID MOND

Abstract. Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ be a map-germ of corank 1, and, for $1 \leq k \leq \text{multiplicity}(f)$, let $D^k(f)$ be its k'th multiple-point scheme – the closure of the set of ordered k-tuples of pairwise distinct points sharing the same image. There are natural projections $D^{k+1}(f) \to D^k(f)$, determined by forgetting one member of the $k+1$-tuple. We prove that the matrix of a presentation of $\mathcal{O}_{D^{k+1}(f)}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{D^k(f)}$ appears as a certain submatrix of the matrix of a suitable presentation of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}}$. This does not happen for germs of corank > 1.

1. Introduction

The multiple point spaces of a map-germ $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ with $n < p$ play an important rôle in the study of its geometry, as well as the topology of the image of a stable perturbation ([14], [12], [5]).

Formally, the k'th multiple point space D^k of a finite proper map between topological spaces is the closure of the set of k-tuples of pairwise distinct points having the same image under the map. A closed formula for an ideal defining $D^k(f)$ in some smooth ambient space is in general not available. However, for $k = 2$ the ideal

$$\mathcal{I}_2 := (f \times f)^* I_{\Delta_p} + \text{Fitt}_0(I_{\Delta_n}/(f \times f)^* I_{\Delta_p}) \tag{1}$$

where I_{Δ_n} and I_{Δ_p} are the ideal sheaves defining the diagonals Δ_n in $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ and Δ_p in $\mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbb{C}^p$, gives a scheme structure with many desirable qualities: if f is dimensionally correct – that is, if $D^2(f)$ has the expected dimension, $2n - p$, then $D^2(f)$ is Cohen Macaulay. If moreover f is finitely determined (for left-right equivalence), or, equivalently, has isolated instability, then provided its dimension is greater than 0, $D^2(f)$ is reduced.

If the corank of f (the dimension of $\operatorname{Ker} df_0$), is equal to 1, much more is possible. An explicit list of generators for the ideal defining $D^k(f)$ in $(\mathbb{C}^n)^k$ was given in [14] and [12]. The second paper shows that a finite corank 1 map-germ $f \colon (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ is stable if and only if each $D^k(f)$ is smooth of dimension $p - k(p - n)$, or empty, for all $k \geq 2$. Moreover, it is finitely $\mathcal{A}$-determined if and only if D^k is an ICIS of dimension $p - k(p - n)$ or empty for those k with $p - k(p - n) \geq 0$, and

---

Date: November 10, 2011.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58K20.

The first author was supported by a Vice Chancellor's scholarship at the University of Warwick.

D^k consists at most of only the origin if $p-k(p-n)<0$ (see, e.g., [11], [5] for other results).

Any map-germ of corank 1 can be written with respect to suitable coordinates, in the form

$$f(\mathbf{x},y)=\big(\mathbf{x},f_n(\mathbf{x},y),\dots,f_p(\mathbf{x},y)\big). \tag{2}$$

where $\mathbf{x}:=x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}$ and y together make a coordinate system on $\mathbb{C}^n$. Provided f is finite, it follows that the local algebra $Q(f):=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}/f^*\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}^p,0}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[y]/(y^{r+1})$, where $r+1$ is the multiplicity of f, and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ is minimally generated over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0}$ by $1,y,\dots,y^r$. The main result of this paper relates a presentation of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}}$-module via f to presentations of $\mathcal{O}_{D^{k+1}(f)}$ as $\mathcal{O}_{D^k(f)}$-module via the projection $\pi^{k+1}_k\colon D^{k+1}(f)\to D^k(f)$ which forgets the last component. Denote by $f^{(k)}$ the natural map $D^k(f)\to\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ induced by f.

Main Theorem. *Suppose that* $f\colon(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$ *is a finite and generically one-to-one map-germ of corank 1 for which* $D^k(f)$ *has dimension* $n-k+1$ *or is empty, and that* $y\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ *is a germ such that* $1,y,\dots,y^r$ *generate* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ *over* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0}$. *If*

$$0\to\mathcal{O}^{r+1}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0}\xrightarrow{\Lambda}\mathcal{O}^{r+1}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0}\xrightarrow{G}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}\to 0$$

is a minimal resolution of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ *with* Λ *symmetric and* $G=\begin{bmatrix}1 & y & \cdots & y^r\end{bmatrix}$, *then for any* $k=1,\dots,\min(r,n)$, *there is an exact sequence*

$$0\to\mathcal{O}^{r-k+1}_{D^k(f),0}\xrightarrow{f^{(k)*}(\Lambda^k_k)}\mathcal{O}^{r-k+1}_{D^k(f),0}\to\mathcal{O}_{D^{k+1}(f),0}\to 0 \tag{3}$$

in which Λ^k_k *is the matrix obtained from* Λ *by deleting the first* k *rows and columns.*

We will prove this theorem in Section 3.1.

In [9, Prop. 3.2], Kleiman, Lipman and Ulrich proved that for a finite map $F\colon X\to Y$ of locally Noetherian schemes of dimensions n and $n+1$,

$$\mathcal{O}_{D^2(F)}\cong\operatorname{Ker}(\mu:\mathcal{O}_X\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y}\mathcal{O}_X\to\mathcal{O}_X) \tag{4}$$

as $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules, (under some additional hypotheses: F is of corank 1, flat dimension 1, and Y satisfies Serre's condition (S_2) [2, Theorem 11.5 (i)]). Here μ is the multiplication map $a\otimes b\mapsto ab$. Moreover,

$$\operatorname{Fitt}_i(F^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X)=\operatorname{Fitt}_{i-1}((\pi^2_1)_*\mathcal{O}_{D^2(F)}) \tag{5}$$

for all $i\geq 1$ ([9, Lemma 3.9]). This equality, for a finite and generically one-to-one map-germ of corank 1 from $\mathbb{C}^n$ to $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, is an easy consequence of our main theorem.

In Proposition 4.1 we prove that for a finite and generically one-to-one map-germ $f\colon(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$ of any corank, $\ker(\mu)$ has resolution

$$0\longrightarrow\mathcal{O}^r_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}\xrightarrow{f^*\Lambda^1_1}\mathcal{O}^r_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}\longrightarrow\operatorname{Ker}(\mu)\longrightarrow 0 \tag{6}$$

This, together with the main theorem, provides an alternative proof of (4) for generically one-to-one map-germs of corank 1 in dimensions $(n, n+1)$.

In the corank ≥ 2 case, $\operatorname{Ker}(\mu)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{D^2(f)}$ are no longer isomorphic. This is evident from the fact that $\operatorname{Ker}(\mu)$ is a Gorenstein $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$-algebra, for it has a presentation given by a symmetric matrix ([10, Theorem 2.3]), whereas $\mathcal{O}_{D^2(f)}$ is not Gorenstein. However, there exists a map between the resolutions of $\mathcal{O}_{D^2(f)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ (see Appendix A). Examples suggests that a comparison of the form (5) should hold for $i = 1, 2$ in the case of map-germs of corank ≥ 2 that are generically one-to-one (see Example 3.6). In [1], we proved that $\operatorname{Fitt}_1(f^* f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}) = \operatorname{Fitt}_0((\pi_1^2)_* \mathcal{O}_{D^2(f)})$ for finitely $\mathcal{A}$-determined corank ≥ 2 map-germs in $\mathcal{E}^0_{3,4}$. However, it is still an open problem for the general case.

This work is based on a part of the first author's PhD thesis submitted at the University of Warwick in 2011. She thanks the university for the financial support for during her stay and the department of Mathematics for the warm welcome.

2. Background

2.1. **$\mathcal{A}$-equivalence.** Let $\mathcal{E}^0_{n,p}$ denote the space of holomorphic map-germs from $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ to $(\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$. The group $\mathcal{A} := \operatorname{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \times \operatorname{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ of local diffeomorphisms acts on $\mathcal{E}^0_{n,p}$ by $(\phi, \psi) \cdot f = \psi \circ f \circ \phi^{-1}$. A map-germ is $\mathcal{A}$-stable if any of its unfoldings is parametrised-equivalent to a trivial unfolding of the form $f \times 1$. A map-germ $f \in \mathcal{E}^0_{n,p}$ is *ℓ-$\mathcal{A}$-determined* if every $g \in \mathcal{E}^0_{n,p}$ with the same ℓ-jet as f is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to f. Furthermore, f is *finitely $\mathcal{A}$-determined*, or *$\mathcal{A}$-finite*, if it is ℓ-$\mathcal{A}$-determined for some $\ell < \infty$. By fundamental results of Mather, finite determinacy is equivalent to the finite dimensionality of $T^1_{\mathcal{A}_e} f := f^*(\theta_{\mathbb{C}^p})/tf(\theta_{\mathbb{C}^n}) + f^{-1}(\theta_{\mathbb{C}^p})$, and thus (if f is not stable) to $0 \in \mathbb{C}^p$ being an isolated point of instability of f.

2.2. **Multiple point spaces.** Given a map $f : X \to Y$, we set

$$(7) \qquad {}^\circ D^k(f) = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in X^k | f(x_1) = \cdots = f(x_k), x_i \neq x_j \text{ if } i \neq j\}$$

and define the *k'th multiple point space of* f, $D^k(f)$, by

$$(8) \qquad D^k(f) = \text{closure } {}^\circ D^k(f)$$

(where the closure in taken in X^k) *provided* ${}^\circ D^k(f)$ *is not empty.* We extend this definition to germs of maps by taking the limit over representatives; if $f \in \mathcal{E}^0_{n,p}$ is finite, the local conical structure guarantees that we obtain in this way a well defined germ at $\mathbf{0} \in (\mathbb{C}^n)^k$. We will shortly endow $D^k(f)$ with an analytic structure in case f is a germ of corank 1. This structure will be compatible with unfolding, and this will lead to a definition of $D^k(f)$ even in cases where ${}^\circ D^k(f)$ is empty. For convenience of notation we sometimes write $D^1(f) := (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ and $D^0(f) := (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$.

For any germ f of the form (2), $D^k(f)$ can obviously be embedded (as a set) in $(\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^k, 0)$; we give it an analytic structure by means of the ideal $\mathcal{I}_k(f)$

generated over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{C}^k,0}$ by $(k-1)(p-n+1)$ functions R_i^j, for $i=1,\dots,k-1$ and $j=n,\dots,p$, which are defined iteratively by

$$\begin{aligned} R_1^j(\mathbf{x},y_1,y_2) &= \frac{f_j(\mathbf{x},y_2)-f_j(\mathbf{x},y_1)}{y_2-y_1} \qquad \text{and} \\ R_i^j(\mathbf{x},y_1,\dots,y_{i+1}) &= \frac{R_{i-1}^j(\mathbf{x},y_1,\dots,y_{i-1},y_{i+1})-R_{i-1}^j(\mathbf{x},y_1,\dots,y_{i-1},y_i)}{y_{i+1}-y_i}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

There is a natural action of S_k on $D^k(f)$ which permutes the coordinates $y_1,\dots,y_k$. See [14, Proposition 3.6] for a set of S_k-invariant generators for $\mathcal{I}_k(f)$.

Only in the case where it is 0-dimensional does the set defined by this ideal differ from the set defined by (8); the latter is evidently empty in this case.

For a stable map-germ, $\mathcal{I}_k(f)$ is reduced ([12, Proposition 2.14]) for all k. In [12, Proposition 2.16] it is shown that the following definition, which also deals with the case where $D^k(f)$ is zero-dimensional, is compatible with the definition in terms of the ideal $\mathcal{I}_k(f)$ described above in case dim $D^k(f)>0$.

Definition 2.1 (Proposition 2.5 [8], cf. [3])**.** Let $f\in\mathcal{E}^0_{n,p}$ be a finite map-germ of corank 1. Let $F\colon(\mathbb{C}^n\times\mathbb{C}^d,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^p\times\mathbb{C}^d,0)$ be a stable unfolding of f, with $F(\mathbf{x},y,\mathbf{u}):=(\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x},y),\mathbf{u})$ and $\tilde{F}_0(\mathbf{x},y)=f(\mathbf{x},y)$. Then we set

$$D^k(f)=D^k(F)\cap\{u_1=0,\dots,u_k=0\} \tag{10}$$

where $u_1,\dots,u_k$ are the unfolding parameters, and $D^k(F)$ is given its reduced structure.

It is straightforward to check that this is independent of the choice of stable unfolding, and is compatible with unfolding in the sense that for *any* germ of unfolding $F:(\mathbb{C}^n\times\mathbb{C}^d,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^p\times\mathbb{C}^d,0)$ of f, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} D^k(f),0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & D^k(F),0 \arrow[d] \\ \{0\} \arrow[r] & \mathbb{C}^d,0 \end{tikzcd} \tag{11}$$

in which the vertical arrows are projections to the base and the horizontal arrows are inclusions, is a fibre square.

For a finite $f\in\mathcal{E}^0_{n,p}$, the *k'th multiple point space on the target* is the set

$$M_k(f)=\{y\in(\mathbb{C}^p,0)\mid |f^{-1}(y)|\geq k\}$$

(where preimages are counted with multiplicity) with analytic structure defined by the $(k-1)$'st Fitting ideal $\mathrm{Fitt}_{k-1}(f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0})$.

For $k>\ell$ we define $D^k_\ell(f)$ to be the image in $D^k(f)$ of $D^\ell(f)$ under the composite $\pi_\ell^{\ell+1}\circ\cdots\circ\pi^k_{k-1}$. Then we have set-theoretic equalities $f^{(k)}(D^k(f))=M_k(f)$ and $f^{-1}M_k(f)=D^k_1(f)$ for all $k\geq 1$.

We denote by $q(f)$ the multiplicity of f, $q(f):=\dim_{\mathbb{C}}Q(f)$.

If $f \in \mathcal{E}^0_{n,n+1}$ is finite and $Q(f)$ has $\mathbb{C}$-basis $g_0 = 1, g_1, \ldots, g_r$, then there is an induced resolution of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0}$ of length 1 of the form

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}^{r+1}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0} \xrightarrow{\Lambda} \mathcal{O}^{r+1}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \to 0$$

where $G := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & g_1 & \ldots & g_r \end{bmatrix}$ and Λ is an $(r+1) \times (r+1)$-matrix with entries $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0}$ for $i, j = 0, \ldots, r$. Moreover, Λ can be chosen to be symmetric ([16]). In this setup, $\mathrm{Fitt}_{k-1}(f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0})$ is the ideal of $(r-k+1) \times (r-k+1)$-minors of Λ.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{E}^0_{n,p}$ be a finite analytic map-germ of corank 1. Then $D^k(f) = \emptyset$ for $k > q(f)$, and $q(\pi^k_{k-1}) = q(f) - k + 1$ for $k = 1, \ldots, q(f)$.*

Proof. Suppose f is written in the form (2). Then

$$Q(\pi^k_{k-1}) \cong \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{C}^k,0}}{\mathcal{I}_k(f) + (\mathbf{x}, y_1, \ldots, y_{k-1})}.$$

Since R^j_i belongs to $(\mathbf{x}, y_1, \ldots, y_{i+1})\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{C}^{k+1},0}$ for $1 \le i \le k-1$ and $n \le j \le p$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_k(f) + (\mathbf{x}, y_1, \ldots, y_{k-1}) =& (R^n_{k-1}(0, \ldots, 0, y_k), \ldots, R^p_{k-1}(0, \ldots, 0, y_k)) + \\ &+ (\mathbf{x}, y_1, \ldots, y_{k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

By an induction, we find $R^j_s(0, \ldots, 0, y_k) = f_j(0, y_k)/y_k^s$. Now the result follows from a straightforward calculation. ☐

For a finite map-germ of any corank, π^k_{k-1} is clearly finite if $D^k(f)$ and $D^{k-1}(f)$ are given their reduced structure. However the relation between these multiplicities is less straightforward than in 2.2 if the corank is not 1.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{E}^0_{n,p}$ be a finite map-germ of corank ≥ 2. Then*

$$q(\pi^2_1) \le q(f).$$

Proof. Consider (1). Denoting the Fitting ideal in the denominator simply by Fitt_0, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\pi^2_1) &\cong \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n\times\mathbb{C}^n,0}}{(f_1(\mathbf{x}_1) - f_1(\mathbf{x}_2), \ldots, f_p(\mathbf{x}_1) - f_p(\mathbf{x}_2)) + \mathrm{Fitt}_0 + (\mathbf{x}_1)} \\ &\cong \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}}{(f_1(\mathbf{x}_2), \ldots, f_p(\mathbf{x}_2)) + \mathrm{Fitt}_0|_{\mathbf{x}_1=0}} \end{aligned}$$

This is a quotient of $Q(f)$. ☐

Example 2.4. For the stable map-germ

$$h\colon (\mathbf{u}, y, z) \mapsto (\mathbf{u}, y^2 + u_1 z, z^2 + u_2 y, u_3 yz + u_4 y + u_5 z),$$

we have $Q(h) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \{1, y, z, yz\}$ and $Q(\pi^2_1(h)) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \{1, y_2, z_2\}$.

Example 2.5. For the stable map-germ

$$\tilde{h}\colon (\mathbf{u}, y, z) \mapsto (\mathbf{u}, y^3 + u_1 z + u_2 y, yz + u_3 z, z^2 + u_4 y + u_5 y^2 + u_6 z)$$

we find $Q(\tilde{h}) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \{1, y, y^2, z\}$ and $Q(\pi^2_1(\tilde{h})) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \{1, y_2, y_2^2, z_2\}$.

2.3. **Pull-backs of map-germs.** By Mather's results ([13]), if $f \in \mathcal{E}^0_{n,p}$ is finite then there exists a stable germ $F\colon (\mathbb{C}^N,0) \to (\mathbb{C}^P,0)$ and a germ of immersion $g\colon (\mathbb{C}^p,0) \to (\mathbb{C}^P,0)$ with g transverse to F, such that f is obtained as a fibre product

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\mathbb{C}^N,0) \arrow[r, "F"] & (\mathbb{C}^P,0) \\
(\mathbb{C}^n,0) \cong (\mathbb{C}^N \times_{\mathbb{C}^P} \mathbb{C}^p,0) \arrow[u, "i"] \arrow[r, "f"] & (\mathbb{C}^p,0) \arrow[u, "g"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

and $P-N=p-n$. Naturally, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^N\times_{\mathbb{C}^P}\mathbb{C}^p,(0,0)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^N,0}\hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^P,0}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^p,0}$. In fact, we have $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^N\times_{\mathbb{C}^P}\mathbb{C}^p,(0,0)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^N,0}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^P,0}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^p,0}$ since g is finite ([6, Lemma 1.89]).

We note that

$$\mathrm{Fitt}_j(f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}) = g^*\mathrm{Fitt}_j(F_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^N,0})$$

since Fitting ideals commute with base change ([18, §1]). Indeed we have

Proposition 2.6. *Suppose X is a germ of dimension n. Let $f\colon X \to (\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$ be a pull-back of a finite $F \in \mathcal{E}^0_{N,N+1}$ by $g \in \mathcal{E}^0_{n+1,N+1}$. If*

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}^{r+1}_{\mathbb{C}^{N+1},0} \xrightarrow{\Lambda} \mathcal{O}^{r+1}_{\mathbb{C}^{N+1},0} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^N,0} \to 0$$

is minimal so is

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}^{r+1}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0} \xrightarrow{g^*\Lambda} \mathcal{O}^{r+1}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0} \to \mathcal{O}_X \to 0.$$

Proof. This is an easy consequence of the right exactness of tensor products and the fact that $\det(g^*\Lambda)$ is identically 0 only if $\mathrm{im}(g) \subseteq \mathrm{im}(F)$, which contradicts the assumption on the dimension of X. □

2.4. **Principle of iteration.** The setup of multiple point spaces allows us to define certain *iterations* involving the projections π^k_{k-1} and the map-germ itself. First, there is a natural bijection

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\phi\colon D^s(\pi^k_{k-1}(f)) & \to & D^{k+s-1}(f) \\
\big((\mathbf{x}^1_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}^1_k),\ldots,(\mathbf{x}^s_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}^s_k)\big) & \mapsto & \big((\mathbf{x}^1_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}^1_{k-1},\mathbf{x}^1_k),\ldots,(\mathbf{x}^1_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}^1_{k-1},\mathbf{x}^s_k)\big)
\end{array}$$

with $\mathbf{x}^i_j \in \mathbb{C}^n$ for all i,j ([5, Remark 2.7 (iii)]). Recall that if f is stable of corank 1 then $D^k(f)$ is smooth (or empty) for all k. Moreover, π^k_{k-1} is also stable and of corank 1 for all k ([4]). So, ϕ induces

$$\mathcal{O}_{D^s(\pi^k_{k-1}(f))} \cong \mathcal{O}_{D^{k+s-1}(f)}. \tag{12}$$

The isomorphism (12) can be extended to finite map-germs of corank 1. First we note,

Lemma 2.7. *Let $f \in \mathcal{E}^0_{n,p}$ be a finite map-germ of corank 1. Let $F \in \mathcal{E}^0_{n+d,p+d}$ be a parametrised unfolding of f. Then $\pi^k_{k-1}(F)$ is an unfolding of $\pi^k_{k-1}(f)$.*

Proof. By definition,

$$\mathcal{O}_{D^k(f)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{D^k(F)}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}^d,0}.$$

Moreover, an unfolding is a level-preserving map-germ whose restriction to the zero fibre is the original map-germ ([15, Section 1]). The result is now straightforward. □

Proposition 2.8. *Let $f \in \mathcal{E}^0_{n,p}$ be a finite map-germ of corank 1. Then $\mathcal{O}_{D^s(\pi^k_{k-1})} \cong \mathcal{O}_{D^{k+s-1}(f)}$.*

Proof. Let $F \in \mathcal{E}^0_{n+d,p+d}$ be a parametrised unfolding of f. Combine the result of Lemma 2.7 with (12) to get

$$\mathcal{O}_{D^s(\pi^k_{k-1}(f))} \cong \mathcal{O}_{D^s(\pi^k_{k-1}(F))}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}^d,0} \cong \mathcal{O}_{D^{k+s-1}(f)}.$$

□

3. Presenting $\mathcal{O}_{D^{k+1}(f)}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{D^k(f)}$.

Throughout the first part of this section we suppose that $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ is stable of corank 1 and multiplicity $r + 1$. Writing f with respect to linearly adapted coordinates, for each k we embed $D^k(f)$ into $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^k$, as explained in Subsection 2.2. On $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^k$ we take coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_1, \dots, y_k$, or simply $\mathbf{x}, y_1, \dots, y_k$. Finally, we assume given a symmetric matrix $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})$ presenting $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}}$ with respect to generators $1, y, \dots, y^r$.

Now let Λ^k_k be the matrix Λ with its first k rows and columns deleted, and let Λ^k be the matrix Λ with its first k rows, but not columns, deleted. We will see that for each k, Λ^k_k is the matrix of a presentation of $\mathcal{O}_{D^{k+1}(f)}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{D^k(f)}$, with respect to generators obtained from $1, y, \dots, y^r$ by a procedure involving iterated interpolation and division. Before giving the proof for all k, we show it in the case $k = 1$.

From each relation

$$\lambda^0_i(f(\mathbf{x}, y)) + \lambda^1_i(f(\mathbf{x}, y))y + \cdots + \lambda^r_i(f(\mathbf{x}, y))y^r = 0 \tag{13}$$

we obtain

$$\lambda^0_i(f(\mathbf{x}, y_2)) + \lambda^1_i(f(\mathbf{x}, y_2))y_2 + \cdots + \lambda^r_i(f(\mathbf{x}, y_2))y_2^r = 0 \tag{14}$$

and also, if $(\mathbf{x}, y_1, y_2) \in D^2(f)$, so that $f(\mathbf{x}, y_1) = f(\mathbf{x}, y_2)$,

$$\lambda^0_i(f(\mathbf{x}, y_1)) + \lambda^1_i(f(\mathbf{x}, y_1))y_2 + \cdots + \lambda^r_i(f(\mathbf{x}, y_1))y_2^r = 0. \tag{15}$$

Subtracting (15) from (14), we get

$$\lambda^1_i(f(\mathbf{x}, y_1))(y_1 - y_2) + \lambda^2_i(f(\mathbf{x}, y_1))(y_1^2 - y_2^2) + \cdots + \lambda^r_i(\mathbf{x}, y_1))(y_1^r - y_2^r) = 0.$$

Dividing by $y_1 - y_2$, which is not a zero-divisor on $D^2(f)$ since the intersection of $D^2(f)$ with $\{y_1 = y_2\}$ is the non-immersive locus, which has dimension $n - 2$, less than the dimension of $D^2(f)$, we get

$$\lambda^1_i(f(\mathbf{x}, y_1)) + \lambda^2_i(f(\mathbf{x}, y_1))(y_1 + y_2) + \cdots + \lambda^r_i(f(\mathbf{x}, y_1))(y_1^{r-1} + \cdots + y_2^{r-1}) = 0. \tag{16}$$

Thus the columns of $f^*\Lambda^1$, are relations among the set

$$1, y_1+y_2, y_1^2+y_1y_2+y_2^2, \ldots, y_1^{r-1}+\cdots+y_2^{r-1} \tag{17}$$

of elements of $\mathcal{O}_{D^2(f)}$. These generate $\mathcal{O}_{D^2(f)}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$, minimally, by 2.2. In fact the relation given by the first column of $f^*\Lambda^1$ is a linear combination over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ of the rest: by the symmetry of $f^*\Lambda$, from $(1, y, \ldots, y^r)(\Lambda\circ f)=0$, $(\Lambda\circ f)(1, y, \ldots, y^r)^t=0$ and therefore

$$(\Lambda\circ f\circ\pi_1^2)(1, y, \ldots, y^r)^t\circ\pi_1^2=0. \tag{18}$$

This last argument is not needed in the proof which now follows, but perhaps helps to explain the result.

Lemma 3.1. *$f^*\Lambda_1^1$ is a presentation of $\mathcal{O}_{D^2(f)}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$.*

Proof. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}^r \arrow[r, "A"] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}^r \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_{D^2(F)} \arrow[r] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}^r \arrow[r, "f^*\Lambda_1^1"] \arrow[u, "\alpha_1"'] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}^r \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\alpha_0"'] & \operatorname{coker} f^*\Lambda_1^1 \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\alpha"'] & 0
\end{tikzcd} \tag{19}$$

in which

- A is a presentation of $\mathcal{O}_{D^2(f)}$ with respect to the generators (17), which we may assume square, and which is certainly minimal;
- α, sending the class of the i'th generator of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}^r$ to the i'th member of the set (17), is an epimorphism by virtue of the fact that the members of (17) generate $\mathcal{O}_{D^2(f)}$,
- α_0 and α_1 are successive lifts of α,

Because α is an epimorphism, so is α_0, and its injectivity follows. Up to multiplication by a unit, $\det A=\det f^*\Lambda_1^1$. For $\det f^*\Lambda_1^1$ generates the conductor of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ into $\mathcal{O}_{f(\mathbb{C}^n)}$, by [16, Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 3.3]; on the other hand, det A generates the conductor because it defines the image of π_1^2, with reduced structure since π_1^2 is generically one-to-one. Now since $\det\alpha_0$ is a unit, it follows by commutativity of the diagram that $\det\alpha_1$ is also a unit. Thus α is an isomorphism and $f^*\Lambda_1^1$ is a presentation of $\mathcal{O}_{D^2(f)}$. □

We now go on to prove inductively that for each k with $2\leq k\leq r$, $f^*\Lambda_k^k$ is the matrix of a presentation of $\mathcal{O}_{D^{k+1}(f)}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{D^k(f)}$. At the induction step we produce a set of generators for $\mathcal{O}_{D^{k+1}(f)}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{D^k(f)}$, from the generators for $\mathcal{O}_{D^k(f)}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{D^{k-1}(f)}$, by the same procedure – interpolation and division – with which we obtained the set (17).

New generators: We apply this procedure to the set (17): for each member $g_i^{(2)}$ except the first (where it would give 0), we form the quotient

$$g_{i-1}^{(3)} := \frac{g_i^{(2)}(x,y_1,y_2) - g_i^{(2)}(x,y_1,y_3)}{y_2 - y_3}, \tag{20}$$

by this means obtaining the set

$$1, y_1 + y_2 + y_3, \ldots, \frac{\frac{y_1^r - y_2^r}{y_1 - y_2} - \frac{y_1^r - y_3^r}{y_1 - y_3}}{y_2 - y_3}. \tag{21}$$

Note that the cardinality has decreased by 1.

Lemma 3.2. *The set $g_0^{(k)}, \ldots, g_{r-k+1}^{(k)}$ obtained by iterating this procedure $k-1$ times, is a minimal generating set for $\mathcal{O}_{D^k(f)}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{D^{k-1}(f)}$. Moreover, for each i, $g_i^{(k-1)}$ is a sum of all monomials of degree i in $y_1, \ldots, y_{k-1}$, and in particular $g_0^{(k-1)} = 1$.*

Proof. The second statement is easily proved by induction. The first statement follows: in $\mathcal{O}_{D^k(f)}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbb{C}}\{g_0^{(k)}, \ldots, g_{r-k+1}^{(k)}\} + (\pi_{k-1}^k)^* \mathfrak{m}_{D^{k-1}(f),0} &= \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbb{C}}\{g_0^{(k)}, \ldots, g_{r-k+1}^{(k)}\} + (\mathbf{x}, y_1, \ldots, y_{k-1}) \\ &= \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbb{C}}\{1, y_k, \ldots, y_k^{r-k+1}\} + (\mathbf{x}, y_1, \ldots, y_{k-1}) \\ &= \mathcal{O}_{D^k(f)} \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

□

Lemma 3.3. *Each relation*

$$\mu_0 g_0^{(k)} + \mu_1 g_1^{(k)} + \cdots + \mu_{r-k+1} g_{r-k+1}^{(k)} = 0 \tag{23}$$

with the $\mu_i \in \mathcal{O}_{D^{k-1}(f)}$ gives rise to a relation

$$\mu_1 g_0^{(k+1)} + \cdots + \mu_{r-k+1} g_{k-r}^{(k+1)} = 0 \tag{24}$$

among the generators of $\mathcal{O}_{D^{k+1}(f)}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{D^k(f)}$.

Proof. The procedure is exactly what we described in equations (13) through (16). If $(x, y_1, \ldots, y_{k+1}) \in D^{k+1}(f)$ then subtracting (23), evaluated at $(\mathbf{x}, y_1, \ldots, y_{k-1}, y_{k+1})$, from its evaluation at $(\mathbf{x}, y_1, \ldots, y_k)$, we kill the first term. Note that the values of the μ_i do not change, since $\pi_{k-1}^k(\mathbf{x}, y_1, \ldots, y_{k-1}, y_{k+1}) = \pi_{k-1}^k(\mathbf{x}, y_1, \ldots, y_k)$. Now dividing by $y_k - y_{k+1}$, we obtain (24). □

Theorem 3.4. *$f^*\Lambda_k^k$ is a presentation of $\mathcal{O}_{D^{k+1}(f)}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{D^k(f)}$ with respect to the generators $g_0^{(k+1)}, \ldots, g_{r-k}^{(k+1)}$ for all $k \leq \min(r, n)$.*

Proof. Induction on k. Once again, the induction step follows the proof of the case $k = 2$. Assume $k \leq \min(r, n)$ so that dim $D^{k+1}(f) \geq 0$ and Λ_{k-1}^{k-1} has size ≥ 1. Suppose inductively that $f^*\Lambda_{k-1}^{k-1}$ is a presentation of $\mathcal{O}_{D^k(f)}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{D^{k-1}(f)}$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{D^{k+1}(f)}$ is a graded module – $D^{k+1}(f)$ is smooth – we may assume that this presentation is given with respect to the generators $g_0^{(k)}, \dots, g_{r-k+1}^{(k)}$. Then by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, $f^{(k)*}\Lambda_k^k$ presents an $\mathcal{O}_{D^k(f)}$-module which maps epimorphically to $\mathcal{O}_{D^{k+1}(f)}$. Let A be a square, minimal presentation of $\mathcal{O}_{D^{k+1}(f)}$ with respect to $g_0^{(k+1)}, \dots, g_{r-k}^{(k+1)}$. The argument of the proof of 3.1, applied to the analogous diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_{D^k(f)}^{r-k} \arrow[r, "A"] & \mathcal{O}_{D^k(F)}^{r-k} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_{D^{k+1}(f)} \arrow[r] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}_{D^k(f)}^{r-k} \arrow[r, "\Lambda_k^k"] \arrow[u, "\alpha_1"'] & \mathcal{O}_{D^k(f)}^{r-k} \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\alpha_0"'] & \operatorname{coker} \Lambda_k^k \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\alpha"'] & 0 ,
\end{tikzcd} \tag{25}$$

proves that $f^{(k)*}\Lambda_k^k$ is a presentation of $\mathcal{O}_{D^{k+1}(f)}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{D^k(f)}$. In fact, $f^{(k)*}\Lambda_k^k = f^*\Lambda_k^k$ since $\pi_{k-1}^k(f)$ is only a projection onto the first $(k-1)$ components. $\square$

3.1. **Proof of the main theorem.** Assume that $q(f) = r+1$. Let $F \in \mathcal{E}_{n+d,n+d+1}^0$ be a parametrised stable unfolding of f. Then, $\pi_k^{k+1}(F)$ is an unfolding of $\pi_k^{k+1}(f)$ and

$$D^{k+1}(f) \cong D^{k+1}(F) \times_{D^k(F)} D^k(f). \tag{26}$$

By an induction on k and the principal of iteration, we deduce that $\pi_k^{k+1}(f)$ is also generically one-to-one and that $D^{k+1}(f)$ has the expected dimension, $n-k$. By Theorem 3.4, $F^*\Lambda_k^k$ presents $\mathcal{O}_{D^{k+1}(F)}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{D^k(F)}$ for all $k \leq \min(r,n)$. Hence, Proposition 2.6, applied to $\pi_k^{k+1}(f)$, shows that

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D^k(f)}^{r-k+1} \xrightarrow{(F^*\Lambda_k^k)|_{\mathbf{u}=0}} \mathcal{O}_{D^k(f)}^{r-k+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D^{k+1}(f)} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{27}$$

is exact. Since $\Lambda|_{\mathbf{u}=0}$ is a presentation of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0}$, the main result follows. $\square$

Consequently,

Corollary 3.5 (cf. Lemma 3.9, [9]). *Let $f \in \mathcal{E}_{n,n+1}^0$ be finite and generically one-to-one map-germ of corank 1. Then*

$$\operatorname{Fitt}_i(f^*f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}) = \operatorname{Fitt}_{i-1}((\pi_1^2)_*\mathcal{O}_{D^2(f)}) \tag{28}$$

for $i \geq 1$.

The following examples show that for map-germs of corank ≥ 2, in general (28) holds only for $i = 1, 2$.

Example 3.6. For the stable map-germ of Example 2.4, one calculates that

$$\operatorname{Fitt}_i(h^*h_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^7,0}) = \operatorname{Fitt}_{i-1}((\pi_1^2(h))_*\mathcal{O}_{D^2(h)})$$

for $i = 1, 2$, but

$$\mathrm{Fitt}_j(h^* h_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}) \neq \mathrm{Fitt}_{j-1}((\pi_1^2(h))_* \mathcal{O}_{D^2(h)})$$

for $j = 3, 4$. The same equalities, and inequalities, hold for the map-germ $\tilde{h}$ of Example 2.5.

Another corollary to the main theorem is the following.

Corollary 3.7. *With the hypotheses of the main theorem, if f is stable, then*

$$S := \{\det(f^* \Lambda_{k-1}^{k-1}) \cdot \det(f^* \Lambda_k^k) = 0\} \tag{29}$$

is a free divisor in $D^k(f)$ for $k = 1, \ldots, \min(r, n)$ (where we take $\Lambda_0^0 = \Lambda$).

Proof. This is trivial for $k = 1$: $S = (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. Assume that $2 \leq k \leq \min(r, n)$. Then, $\mathcal{O}_{D^{k+1}(f)}$ is presented over $\mathcal{O}_{D^k(f)}$ by $f^*\Lambda_k^k$. Since f is stable, π_k^{k+1} is also stable of corank 1. Therefore, the statement follows by [17, Theorem 1.2] which states that if $F : (\mathbb{C}^r, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^{r+1}, 0)$ is stable and of corank 1, and if Λ is a symmetric presentation of $F_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^r}$ with respect to generating set $1, g_1, \ldots, g_s$, then $\det \Lambda \cdot \det \Lambda_1^1$ defines a free divisor in $\mathbb{C}^{r+1}$. ☐

Remark 3.8. With the hypotheses of the main theorem, the ideal

$$\big(\det f^* \Lambda_1^1,\ \det f^* \Lambda_2^2, \ldots,\ \det f^* \Lambda_{k-1}^{k-1}\big) \tag{30}$$

gives $D_1^k(f)$ the structure of a (possibly non-reduced) complete intersection.

Remark 3.9. It is slightly unexpected that, as the main theorem shows, for a corank 1 germ there is a presentation of $\mathcal{O}_{D^{k+1}(f)}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{D^k(f)}$ whose entries are the pull-backs to $D^k(f)$ of functions on the target of f. For the simplest map-germ of corank 2,

$$f(a, b, c, d, x, y) = (a, b, c, d, x^2 + ay, xy + bx + cy, y^2 + dx)$$

this cannot be the case even for a presentation of $\mathcal{O}_{D^2(f)}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{D^1(f)}$. For, as an easy calculation shows, the Fitting ideal $\mathrm{Fitt}_3((\pi_1^2)_* \mathcal{O}_{D^2(f)})$ is contained in $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}^6,0}$ but not contained in $f^*(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}^7,0})$.

4. A DIFFERENT INTERPRETATION OF $D_1^2(f)$

In this section, we identify Λ_1^1 as the matrix of a presentation of the kernel of the multiplication map μ: $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$, for a finite map-germ $f \in \mathcal{E}_{n,n+1}^0$. Suppose that $Q(f) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \{1, g_1, \ldots, g_r\}$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0} \cdot \{1, g_1, \ldots, g_r\}$. Moreover, $\mathrm{Ker}(\mu) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \cdot \{g_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes g_1, \ldots, g_r \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes g_r\}$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{E}_{n,n+1}^0$ be finite and generically one-to-one. Suppose that*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0}^{r+1} \xrightarrow{\Lambda} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0}^{r+1} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{31}$$

is a presentation in which Λ *is symmetric and the first element of the generating set* G *is equal to 1. Then the following sequence is exact:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^r_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \xrightarrow{f^*\Lambda^1_1} \mathcal{O}^r_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \xrightarrow{\Delta G} \operatorname{Ker}(\mu) \longrightarrow 0$$

where ΔG *is defined by* $\hat{e}_i \mapsto g_i \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes g_i$ *for* $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Proof. We tensor (31) on the right by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0}$ to get

$$\mathcal{O}^{r+1}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \xrightarrow{f^*\Lambda} \mathcal{O}^{r+1}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \xrightarrow{G'} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \to 0$$

where $G' \colon e_i \mapsto g_{i-1} \otimes 1$. By the exactness of (31) and the fact that Λ is symmetric, $0 = (G \cdot \Lambda)^t = \Lambda \cdot G^t$. So, $G^t \colon \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \to \mathcal{O}^{r+1}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ is a map into the kernel of $f^*\Lambda$. We claim that $\operatorname{im}(G^t) = \operatorname{Ker}(f^*\Lambda)$. To see this, suppose that $B = \begin{bmatrix} b_0 & b_1 & \dots & b_r \end{bmatrix}^t$ lies in $\operatorname{Ker} f^*\Lambda$, for some $b_0, \dots, b_r \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$. Then

$$0 = f^*\Lambda \cdot \left(\begin{bmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ \dots \\ b_r \end{bmatrix} - b_0 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ g_1 \\ \vdots \\ g_r \end{bmatrix} \right) = f^*\Lambda \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ b_1 - b_0 g_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_r - b_0 g_r \end{bmatrix}.$$

and in particular $f^*(\Lambda^1_1)(b_1 - b_0 g_1, \dots, b_2 - b_0 g_r)^t = 0$. However, $\det f^*\Lambda^1_1$ generates $f^*(\operatorname{Fitt}_1(f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}))$ and is thus a defining equation of $D^2_1(f)$ (see e.g. [16, Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 3.3]). Since $D^2_1(f)$ has codimension 1 in $D^1(f)$, $\det f^*\Lambda^1_1$ is not identically zero, and this forces $b_i = b_0 g_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Obviously, G^t is one-to-one. Thus, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \xrightarrow{G^t} \mathcal{O}^{r+1}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \xrightarrow{f^*\Lambda} \mathcal{O}^{r+1}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \xrightarrow{G'} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \longrightarrow 0.$$

The fact that $f^*\lambda \cdot G^t = 0$ means that the first column of $f^*\lambda$ is a linear combination of the remaining columns (recall that the first member of the set of generators, and thus the first entry in the column matrix G^t, is equal to 1). Thus we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{O}^{r+1}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{O}^{r+1}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \xrightarrow{G''} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{32}$$

with

$$\alpha = \left[\begin{array}{c|ccc} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & f^*\Lambda^1_1 & \\ 0 & & & \end{array} \right], \quad \beta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad G'' \colon e_i \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 \otimes 1 & \text{if } i = 0, \\ g_i \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes g_i & \text{if } i = 1, \dots, r \end{cases}.$$

We minimalise (32) to the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}^r_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} \mathcal{O}^{r+1}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \xrightarrow{G''} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \to 0 \tag{33}$$

where α_1 is the matrix obtained from α by deleting the first column. Since $\operatorname{Ker}(\mu)$ is generated by $\{g_i \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes g_i \mid i = 1, \dots, r\}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \oplus \operatorname{Ker}(\mu)$. Hence, $f^*\Lambda_1^1$ gives a presentation of $\operatorname{Ker}(\mu)$. □

Appendix A. Lifting of presentations

Consider the set

$$ID^k(f) := \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in (\mathbb{C}^n)^k \mid f(x_1) = \cdots = f(x_k)\}$$

which was referred to as *idiot's multiple point space* by Houston ([7]). Notice that $D^k(f)$ and $ID^k(f)$ agree outside the diagonal of $(\mathbb{C}^n)^k$, and $D^k(f) \subset ID^k(f)$. In particular, $ID^2(f)$ is the fibre product $(\mathbb{C}^n \times_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}} \mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ defined by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \arrow[r, "f"] & (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0) \\
(\mathbb{C}^n \times_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}} \mathbb{C}^n, 0) \arrow[u, "pr_1"] \arrow[r, "pr_2"] & (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \arrow[u, "f"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where pr_1 (resp. pr_2) is the projection to the first (resp. the second) factor. The module $\mathcal{O}_{ID^2(f)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ has two $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$-module structures induced from pr_1 and pr_2. A resolution of $\mathcal{O}_{ID^2(f)}$ is given by (33) and $\mathcal{O}_{ID^2(f)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \oplus \operatorname{Ker}(\mu)$.

Remark A.1. If f has corank 1, $\operatorname{Ker}(\mu) \cong \mathcal{O}_{D^2(f)}$ by Proposition 4.1 and the main theorem (cf. [9, Prop. 3.2]). We can form an isomorphism explicitly: define

$$\begin{array}{rccl}
T\colon \operatorname{Ker}(\mu) \to & (y_2 - y_1)\mathcal{O}_{D^2(f)} & \to \mathcal{O}_{D^2(f)} \\
y \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes y \mapsto & y_2 - y_1 & \\
 & h & \mapsto h(y_2 - y_1)^{-1}
\end{array}$$

and its inverse

$$\begin{array}{rccl}
T^{-1}\colon \mathcal{O}_{D^2(f)} \to & (y_2 - y_1)\mathcal{O}_{D^2(f)} & \to \operatorname{Ker}(\mu) \\
h \mapsto & (y_2 - y_1)h & \\
 & y_2 - y_1 & \mapsto y \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes y.
\end{array}$$

So, $\mathcal{O}_{ID^2} \to \mathcal{O}_{D^2}$ is the composition of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \oplus \operatorname{Ker}(\mu) \to \operatorname{Ker}(\mu)$ and T.

If f has corank ≥ 2 there is no isomorphism between $\operatorname{Ker}(\mu)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{D^2(f)}$. However, we can still compare the resolutions of $\mathcal{O}_{ID^2}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{D^2}$.

Remark A.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{E}^0_{n,n+1}$ be of corank ≥ 2 with $Q(f) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \{1, g_1, \dots, g_r\}$. Suppose that $q(f) = q(\pi_1^2)$. Let Λ be the matrix of a presentation of $f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$

with respect to generators $1, g_1, \ldots, g_r$, and let Λ_1 denote Λ with its first column removed. We may take $Q(\pi_1^2) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \{1, g_1(\mathbf{x}_2), \ldots, g_r(\mathbf{x}_2)\}$. Then

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}^r_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \arrow[r, "f^*\Lambda_1"] \arrow[d, "B"] & \mathcal{O}^{r+1}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \arrow[r, "G'"] \arrow[d, equal] & \mathcal{O}_{ID^2} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\hat{T}"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}^{r+1}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}^{r+1}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \arrow[r, "M"] & \mathcal{O}_{D^2(f)} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

is a commutative diagram with $\hat{T} : g_i \otimes 1 \mapsto g_i(\mathbf{x}_2)$, $M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & g_1(\mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & g_r(\mathbf{x}_2) \end{bmatrix}$, $G' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \otimes 1 & g_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes g_1 & \cdots & g_r \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes g_r \end{bmatrix}$ and B the lift of the identity.

Remark A.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{E}^0_{n,n+1}$ be of corank ≥ 2 with $Q(f) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \{1, g_1, \ldots, g_r\}$. Suppose that $q(f) \geq q(\pi_1^2)$. We may assume that $Q(\pi_1^2) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \{1, g_1(\mathbf{x}_2), \ldots, g_s(\mathbf{x}_2)\}$ $(s \leq r)$. Then there exist $a_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$, $i, j = 0, \ldots, s$, satisfying

$$g_{s+i}(\mathbf{x}_2) = a_{i0}(\mathbf{x}_1) + \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_1) \cdot g_j(\mathbf{x}_2)$$

for all $i = 0, \ldots, r-1$. So,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}^r_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \arrow[r, "f^*\Lambda_1"] \arrow[d, "B"] & \mathcal{O}^{r+1}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \arrow[r, "G'"] \arrow[d, "A"] & \mathcal{O}_{ID^2} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\hat{T}"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}^{s}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}^{s}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0} \arrow[r, "M"] & \mathcal{O}_{D^2(f)} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

is a commutative diagram with $\hat{T}\colon g_i \otimes 1 \mapsto g_i(\mathbf{x}_2)$, $M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & g_1(\mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & g_s(\mathbf{x}_2) \end{bmatrix}$, $G' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \otimes 1 & g_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes g_1 & \cdots & g_r \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes g_r \end{bmatrix}$, $A = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mathrm{Id}_{r \times r} & (a_{ij}) \end{array}\right]$ and B the lift of A.

References

1. A. Altintas, *Multiple point spaces and finitely determined map-germs*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Warwick, 2011.
2. D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry*, Graduate Text in Mathematics, vol. 150, Springer, New York, 1995.
3. T. Gaffney, *Multiple points and associated loci*, Singularities, Part 1, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., no. 40, American Mathematical Society, RI, 1983, pp. 429–437.
4. V. Goryunov, *Semi-simplicial resolutions and homology of images and discriminants of mappings*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **70** (1995), no. 3, 363–385.
5. V. Goryunov and D. Mond, *Vanishing cohomology of singularities of mappings*, Compositio Mathematica **89** (1993), 45–80.
6. G.-M. Greuel, C. Lossen, and E. Shustin, *Introduction to singularities and deformations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007.

7. K. Houston, *An introduction to the image computing spectral sequence*, Singularity Theory (Liverpool 1996), London Maths. Soc. Lecture Notes Series, vol. 263, Cambridge University Press, 1999.
8. ———, *Stratification of unfoldings of corank 1 singularities*, Quart. J. Math. **61** (2010), 413–435.
9. S. Kleiman, J. Lipman, and B. Ulrich, *The multiple-point schemes of a finite curvilinear map of codimension one*, Ark. Mat. **34** (1996), 285–326.
10. S. Kleiman and B. Ulrich, *Gorenstein algebras, symmetric matrices, self-linked ideals, and symbolic powers*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **349** (1997), no. 12, 4973–5000.
11. W. L. Marar, *Mapping fibrations and multiple point schemes*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Warwick, 1989.
12. W. L. Marar and D. Mond, *Multiple point schemes for corank 1 maps*, J. London Math. Soc. **39** (1989), no. 2, 553–567.
13. J. Mather, *Stability of* C^∞*-mappings* VI*: The nice dimensions*, Proc. Liverpool Singularities Symposium Vol. 1 (C. T. C. Wall, ed.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 192, Springer, Berlin, 1970, pp. 207–253.
14. D. Mond, *Some remarks on the geometry and classification of germs of maps from surfaces to 3-spaces*, Topology **26** (1987), 361–383.
15. D. Mond and J. Montaldi, *Deformations of maps on complete intersections, Damon's* $\mathcal{K}_V$*-equivalence and bifurcations*, Singularities (Lille 1991), London Maths. Soc. Lecture Notes Series, vol. 201, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
16. D. Mond and R. Pellikaan, *Fitting ideals and multiple points of analytic mappings*, Algebraic Geometry and Complex Analysis (Pátzcuaro, 1987), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1414, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
17. D. Mond and M. Schulze, *Adjoint divisors and free divisors*, arXiv:1001.1095v3, 2010.
18. B. Teissier, *The hunting of invariants in the geometry of discriminants*, Nordic Summer School/NAVF, Symposium in Mathematics, Oslo, August 5-25, 1976, pp. 565–677.

Department of Mathematics, Yildiz Technical University, Esenler 34210, Istanbul, Turkey
E-mail address: `aysea@yildiz.edu.tr`

Mathematics Institute, the University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK
E-mail address: `D.M.Q.Mond@warwick.ac.uk`